Is childcare working?

How much childcare is available for mothers who work full-time? *Pamela Calder*, former chair of the Early Childhood Studies Degrees Network and who campaigned in the 70s for better access to childcare, asks whether things have improved

he 70s was a time of great change: we had the Sex Discrimination Act and the Equal Pay Act, which were both concerned about the lack of childcare.

Only a third of children aged two to four attended any kind of state-provided or registered childcare in 1978, a newsletter from the National Association of Teachers in Further and Higher Education reported. There were signs that this was despite a desire of more women to work, not because of a lack of it: the same year the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) reported a 1974 survey showing that 64 per cent of mothers wanted some form of daycare for their under-fives.

Yet when I was pregnant in 1972, and knew that I wanted to continue my lecturing career, there were no day nurseries that I could access. Local authority day nurseries and family centres were available for children deemed at risk in some way, but not for the use of those with two employed parents.

My options were to find a childminder through the inadequate and out-of-date lists held by the local authority, find a neighbour willing to look after my child, or get an au pair.

One of the problems was that there were so few places available. Local authorities were not under any obligation to assess demand in their area until it became law in the Children Act of 2006. The total estimated number of underfives in any form of care in 1973, including those placed with childminders, was one million. Almost all of this care was part-time.

This was the situation which



Equal pay for women only became enshrined in law in 1970

led to women in all parts of the country beginning to get together to try to find their own solutions. We were arguing for publicly funded free provision,

workplace nurseries and nurseries in colleges.

We finally established the National Childcare Campaign in 1980, which led to the

80% **±** 60% 40% 1973 1992 1973 .992 1992

Women in employment by age of child, 1973-1992

Adapted from General Household Survey, 1992

Full-time

Part-time

creation of what is now Coram Family and Childcare (recently known as the Family and Childcare Trust).

Have things improved?

In 1973, just 7 per cent of women with children under five worked full-time, and this figure had not changed much by 1992 when it was 11 per cent (see chart, left).

Conversely, 18 per cent of women in this category worked part-time, but by 1992, this had almost doubled to 31 per cent.

I have had to do my own analysis of labourforce statistics to look at the more recent picture, from 1996 to 2018.

My analysis (see table, overleaf) shows there has been a 12 percentage point increase in women with children under five employed full-time since

1996 and a 3 percentage point part-time increase.

If we go back to the 1970s, there is an increase in women in work, from 53 per cent in 1971 to 71.2 per cent in 2018 (Office for National Statistics (ONS)). Also, there has been an increase in the number of women with children in work, from 44 per cent in 1973 to around 74 per cent in April-June 2018 (ONS).

But a large part of the increase in mothers' employment has been in part-time work, which tends to be worse-paid. When the table (below) is broken down into age by child, we see that most women are not employed continuously. By the time their child is one year old, more women will be working part-time than full-time.

In fact, mothers with children aged between one and 11 are more likely to be in part-time than full-time work, and don't catch up with their prechildbirth work rates for over a decade. It is not until the youngest child is 13 that the full-time work rate (43 per cent) exceeds that for when the voungest child was under one (41 per cent) – women are counted as full-time employed if they are on maternity leave.

Are there enough places?

If a full-time employed woman wants to continue in full-time work, after maternity leave, when her child is under three years old, is it possible to find affordable, good-quality childcare and early education?

Again, such data is not readily available so I have made some calculations to get a handle on the number of full-time places available. The calculations look at specific age groups, so it is worth noting that support for those eligible is

Do we have the data to answer the question?

In this article I found that I was trying to answer two questions: whether it was any easier for women who wanted to work after having a child than in the 1970s, when I had struggled with this; and also whether data needed to answer the question was available - and if not, why not?

Statistics have not generally been collected in ways that take account of gender. It is hard to make the connections between mothers' employment, age of child, and early education provision. Also, the categories under which such information has been collected have continually changed, making data hard

ONS data in 1971 shows that 53 per cent of all women aged 16-64 were in employment – but does not tell us if they had children. Feminist groups in the 1970s, 1980s and 1990s campaigned for more gender-related statistics, and more on issues such as the availability of childcare. The Women's Budget Group was established in the 1980s to analyse how gender issues were overlooked in Government budget framing.

By 1996, perhaps as a result of pressure from researchers, the Government also began to provide greater information.

Yet in 2007. Esther Breitenbach identified there was still a need for better public collection of data in the following areas:

- Gender balance of decision-making bodies and within senior management positions.
- Gendered patterns of childcare and other forms of caring.
- Gender data on poverty and social
- Data on the incidence of domestic violence and other forms of violence against women

Over the years we have had to look at the technical tables, read the small print, and campaign for new or different kinds of information to be collected in order to get to the position where we are today. And yet while the situation is improved, the data is still lacking.

If we don't have the data to take a measure of how things are, and show where we need to get to, then we can't see if things are improving for, e.g. women who want to work and have careers and have children

Policies are not enough – they need to be implemented and enforced effectively. If this data is not collected, it implies that policymakers don't regard this as important.

- available for all parents of birth to fives in the form of schemes such as Tax-Free Childcare (though 80 per cent of eligible parents haven't signed up) and benefits. Here is a summary:
- There is no funded provision for children aged birth to one.
- On two-year-olds:
- a) Funded provision is available for some (15 hours for the 40 per cent most disadvantaged). But how many places are there versus the number of two-year-olds in total? The Government's claim that 72 per cent of eligible two-yearolds took up a funded place tells us little about the number of funded places per population of all two-year-

......

- olds. In 2018, 154,960 eligible two-year-olds received funded educational provision out of a total population of 696,271 (the birth rate in 2016).
- b) This means 22.5 per cent of all twos have a funded place.
- On one-year-olds:
- a) There were 679,106 live births of children born in 2017. The DfE's Survey of Childcare and Early Years Providers estimates the total number of full-time places in group-based (not school) provision for all children under five in 2018, was 1.1 million places. If we hypothesise that most of these group places are for birth to four-year-olds, i.e. for five separate cohorts, then we can estimate there are 220,000 places per age group (1.1 million divided by 5). We can see there is a large shortfall between the hundred thousand estimated number of nursery places per age group, and the 679,106 one-year olds who might want places. It leaves us potentially 459,106 places short.
- b) From three years old there are more childcare places in other types of settings such as schools, so let's try making the assumption that the group-based places are all used for birth to two-yearolds (i.e. a three-year cohort of children aged from birth to one to two). If we divide the total number of these full-time places by three, we get 366,667 places available for one-year-olds, but this still leaves the potential shortfall of 312,439 places for a population of 679,106.
- c) If we add in the 243,000 childminder places in 2018 (although these are not necessarily full-time): 243,000 divided by three (for birth to twos) equals 81,000 places. Added to the figure of places in full daycare settings for birth to twos, we get 447,667. This still leaves us short by 231,439 places. So even when childminder places are added, the shortfall for one-year-olds is well more than 200,000 places. ■

Women's employment with children under five

| | 1996 | 2018 |
|-----------|------|------|
| Full-time | 17% | 29% |
| Part-time | 33% | 36% |